

6-2012

"Defensive measures"

appeared almost -
lies -

in ignorance that
Cuba denied
expenses

If not first
and the areas +
troops involved;
SAMs openly
and if "warnings," with
ignores - don't lie -

Wednesday)

or say, "Flots am
right"—
if blockade

(K didn't am to
worry that both
lies or many
and have fortune,
owners, who ^{parts},
revealed

("Sleepers" — J D G)

SD acted as if
law arms & tanks
were not
breakable or
touchable!

No one on E SD
or Cuban side
simultaneously (or, better)
expressed risks /
difficulties of program
(by were written out
US threat: /

and explained
how to deal with
them

To a non-traditional
design, there was
a deform-
er than MRBOLs,

Was there a need
a blurb?

Wf Nov. with
the Dr., for

Hoff:

Knows extremely
of "2d" or —
between and

Berlin — and is a red!

(See Trump +
two other underwent
of claim that
defence was only
aim : or an, "forward"?

Hydro

No discerning -
what could / should
K do? C?

K general plan was
solved -

His tactics were
truly planned -
he could easily have
ended it off -

before, and even after,
disengaging on Oct. 22.

Norway was very
unfriendly or hostile.

- VCs on borders

+ attack on esp. units

Cold airbase alert -

(for FS, why?)

ODI/SANE! ExCom!

- K - many of too

units + troops -

1 (coupled with MRBMs)

- friendly invasion

- failed to deter

- permit to explode

- use interceptors

use tanks
(units or MRBMs [or CBMs!])

6-20-12

Persson & blockers,
middle Cuba
~ Aug - Sept - Oct

(
cover from lyridome
is Cyprus + public of
Myanmar + mission
plans

Who controls Egy
(+ Iran) want to
arrange C-I or
impuse Castro?

A final resolution
(+ US hegemony in CA + LA)

K program was
not crazy or
assessing needless
(we should have been
easily foreseeable
legal, justifiable + JUSTIFIED
(gov standards, US
but broad terms permit)
(except for ~~any~~ ~~or none~~)
(tiny, lies/assumes)
sum of the dues
+ SAMS

(yes, MRBMs were
partly defensive — of
Cable — and partly
defensive of SO (like
Terrorist))

It looks crazy
(inflamable) +
reckless
(or amnes)

and because of

- 1) US mercy about
mercy & nice plus
~~the~~ - public / crazy /
(or DDC) ignorants;

- 2) CW standards in US:
"We're entitled"

to am an little
spider" (Hull)

- exceptions of
Cuba regime in
Cuba, Argentina,
states goes

- Mexican Dictator

- SD "informant"
ignoring threat to
Europe, inc. US bases
+ troops in Europe, NATO

Berlin "rights"

(no Cuban/SO
rights)

Never — as inhibitions
about morality, loyalty
of blockade
attack
missions

[options:]

or CT, assass -
(mafia)

or treacherous / treachery about

(seemingly
justified by
"threat" of MRBMs
(stealthy deployed...))

Conf crown, makers,
- to that you could
allow overhead
near an area
+ keep missile ready
for ~2 months (Sept 8 -
Oct 26)
- no plan in case of discovery

3 - being too are
ever should be
limited (to what?
ocean? borders?
Cuba?)

for won-fighty,
not outcome ??

(What if tea arrives in
Europe and has
agent?!

[as was conjectured!
Were Sacs foolish & the ??
see MacKenzie?] likely as Cuba.

Why was McV
so afraid last
night?

Why can't JFR
convince Corbin they
is more than just?
Why not McV?; ^(stev?) Bell?

(RFR appeal! Sen?
O'Donnell!
McG appeal!

If want, what?
Fighter bimbo?

WHILE CASTRO SHOT
DOWN MORE PLANES?

Hypo: JFR ~~was~~^{was} not
informing his (RER) ~~about~~
warning on AA/5
annual work !!
(nor was McH.).

Was RER?

Why not have Stein
propose to U.S. Govt?
look?

Deriving this was
a JFR lie, conn
"Pm best revised trans".

Did Carter find
sunrise morning?

Any bits?

presented
Kerssons been
VN" (from C-II)

Cf. the ~~prolonged~~
meeting & his
about C-II
& figures

which persisted
throughout lead-up
into VN, (1946-65),
2 years later (some
guys) - 1968 1969
and into PP period
1971-75

no breakthrough (200?)
until 1987, 1989, 1992+
(1982 some guys into USSR)

34A

mission plan

delays (1964)

(see K 1962:)

(Kunnen 1960:)

turbo/vlt.

protection

(Nordmarks)

assess (1963)

(see Centro:)

as late as 1971, we're
interrupting them as if
first time ...)

6-20-12

WE PROVOKED
C-II

(LIKE T6!)

and forced large parts
of USSR, even DDI,

(but DDI wanted
T6 ?:)

1969 - we had no
basis for understanding
(X, ideology or what's
(~~from~~ failed by occident,
of western))

(If Sun has no
wedges now —
would we
necessarily know?)

(or if they do, in
1991!)

(As other case when
an older case is
close to a simpler
as C-II? (UK version?
One two was ^{UCTRA}
also found on simple op!)

Was there "us
them of a
US mission?"

(entirely I think)
are troops
threats
expectations

1987
annual?
despite
more
shoot-downs

(USG?)
desires (VCS...)

(mainly VFR personnel:
+ foreign.

(MCN?)

Why didn't operational
MABMSs pull the out?
For MCN, others?

6-2012

To McN:

"Why would you
have thought us
were able to start"
if you were in
Moscow?

and why didn't
you see this at the
tree?

[and by only not?
No written or reported
part of it, before
(1975? 1987-Venning?)
Abel? R&K; Labbs; has; Allison

We had no right
to invade Cuba -

before 1961

before Oct 1962

after Oct 1962

[either where we; or WITH -]

straight aggression

(See Army ~~1993~~²⁰⁰³)

(or Army-Kuwait 1990)

Aug - Nov 1980

SU-Germany

(West-Berlin,
etc...)

UK 1950


Aug 1956

(from?)

Attack on Israel
by Israel or US
(as Dayton:)

would be a disasterously
Costly + useless
& numerous
Crime of aggression

(No one — but G6! —
is saying this!
(Who is USG in speaking
out like ex-Palestine
Chico — Israel?!)



Is there such a
thing, a crime
as aggression?
(Does it recognize
it?)

Ram Aray 2003
but it? (or attacks
on Kuwait? Why was
S+ not charged
in 1990-91? or later?)

Doesn't the law fit it?
CAN a US Preemptive
aggression? or as with
War-Crime? or intervention

Would it be
"self-defense"?

C-II

Ackerson

"Triumph of
sheer clever luck!"

Yes : but...

CW - lost of WWII

Ronald Steel on RFR '69

NYRB

Balance of Power

Chomsky

"the Thriller..."

B-52s to Sov. airports.

in about one [96]? accident!

VFR from of ~~Task~~ arrival

Oct 24 — VFR no control

(Any material is true?)

See Rules /

Was attack "possible" in Hand

What would VFR have done, if
alt. disputed?



IPMS were to "balance strat.
balance" after Soviet

= K!

VFR did not concern not to interfere with
internal affairs of Sov — as K ordered.
(see VFR letter) & he did interfere!

VFR created IRBNs but — WHY?

| Did anyone ever say: What if K
put the in Cuba?

| or, what th be excuse for K?
(as Re Govt!)

Wg REK cult — "of tomorrow"?

— SO VFR could use Cuban
play before Tuesday — a Sunday
or Monday morning!

— Krebs' actions are exaggerated unbalanced
(A cult. impair from Oct 87,
89-Moscow, 92-Havana
(SCI — Mongoose!...)

|| IRBNs on Cuba — vulnerable — yet
a threat to US — which really
existential earlier, ES or SS!
(yes, IRBNs the same — but
they really don't say!)

esp. in May '62

No evidence or testimony that K
had a plan for "if missiles are
discovered

(or if USG willing to attack of
+ Pres missiles!

as RER threatened!

SHD don't "know" K's judgment of JFK,
after Ukraine

Courses: SR 10 15 77

[Explain all social (?) JFK could go
all the way if he had to

If K expected VFR letters
and private plane —
stock with Sat. letter!

We attack! (RER implies)

False — but as criterion of
its being true — causing at
ult. What if it didn't turn
a bluff? Drill US down the
right to attack?

NWOT

We are all in the same boat.

(to speak: we are mortal

(Being the one side (US) could
mean a one war.

(R: both...) wrong

Sound of being by in the air IC

Sound of Dancers

(any SC — Shatnayak)

K required JPK mention if
he gave matters over-exp.

(drop a

Did JPK only require SC matters?

Concretely? :

(Cann + chapter!)

McN be about "no plans"!

Shock — why threats vs. SU?

(not w/ Cuba)

(but see... Berlin :)

(Russia sees US as

US as

(Supposed intent to invade

Greece?

West Europe?

Was there comparable intent/
plan in SU to the ≈ US plan
on Cuba

or not?

A total myth?

Misleading?

Ask (Dan Plesner - for Comp)
Why no peace treaty with
Germany after 16 years?

Was West position in Berlin
"abnormal" or not?

(Peace treaty with Korea?)

What could US / UK have offered?

C-I \Rightarrow C-II

via JFK invasion plans!

How did K sign up C-I, +JFK?

Answer, perhaps: C-I

~~the~~ initialization

JFK to K - "We are equal." (McNamara
 \rightarrow JFK)

but didn't act like it,
publicly, on Berlin + C-II

By deciding we as a states go power
goes on plan to
expand into Cuba!

(C-I in '61)

(That was no "61"! '50's)

That was all before us)

(I believed that!)

and we wanted S.G. in Berlin —

but K found a change in the
A.g. in Europe:

1) West Germans desire for unif.

K did not see West

(? off Adenauer?)

Hungary as a S.G. power:

Did he protest?

(Why?) (We didn't
see Castro but ~~we~~)

Plausibly?

(Thrusthing)

2) Uprisings in GDR, East Europe

(Reform)

3) Possible NATO/Kenn involvement
roll-back

4) Kenn are weaks!

(But he was monitoring that! Like
GWB SWOT - winning freq. - Drones...)

Peterson

What was the S.G. we defended
in SWA?

(Honduras; Iran; Indonesia;
Iraq after coup; Brazil; Chile)

CR

f. continuing generation

(JFK: + 10 years behind, half-generation

Accessing of less "CW"

? Remained in 1959 - for development
from Central Europe (w. Russia)

JFK Oct '61: SW "aggressive conduct"

(What " " ?)

L" But critics ignore

- JFK did have hawk advisors + enemy

- he did repeat this advice; (CJS...)

but did "have" to face the situation, + think
+ think

- What if N and low in? (ACA)

JFK as CWarrior

(Anti-Commie, esp. exiles)

(not Stevenson!

or Hollingsworth (a friend?)

Bowles (Baldwin?)

(or Fullbright, Mansfield, Kennan)

or Kippman or Eleanor R:

"Kill N., she!"

But (there was a difference;
absentee of US interval...)

VKR wanted writing in TW (? Laos? VN?)

Pkt

My note: Ralph's observation: there is
an Patman

Dish RER went to interview, in C-I?

(Patman 203)

(Lobles, WNR (RG?) - anti-Comm)

(McG &? CW: Garrison, Stevenson)

Critics (Stelle, Pearson, Patterson)

acc. "TV showed nothing that
gave diploma"

"higher chance of error"?

(No)

Andrew Hipp opposed, was always
to accompany bitterness

("It was not to agree him
with thee") (what plan?)

Opposes Custom Nationalism

+ Patented (?) for US support for Patterson

(see VN.)

/
we ignorant who we are and suspicious,
to earn hatred/distrust

[and DDI fully knew?]

(ECON types didn't + didn't act...)

didn't talk about it, interpret
it into theory, use it to explain others'
K KNEW! (see Vennin)

Sesung
6-17-12

But blockade was no more a
demonstration of SFR boldness, commitment,
"driving a line."
then advises in VN

(Pearson minimizes them)

(BK - SOK in 63 NO
~ 61

"He has to see you more."
(Probably right)

"Flagged it up."
U Shant

POL Blockade

option lets AA? SDMs? RECON /
in VN, too

Report from mission? Taylor (Wg?)

(Who opposed mission?)

(then - no time over usual)

don't attack MRBM:

Was JFK that opposed to mission - except for
MRBMs?

Repub's accommodation to JPK in summer '62:

There was no limit to his
accommodation, experiment, concession,
toleration

[Berlin Wall; JCS knew C-I, VN, Vienna
"equal"

[dissolution; broken;

"no line he would draw; nothing that
could get him to attack Castro

(Munroe Doctor — knew would that go
over in 1962? In CA? Europe?

(biggest SO?)

That was what he "had" to do — all

diff, late 8, '63

(and K around him...)

(~~didn't~~ had to do), Goldwater...

as JPK had to concession, C-I

as JPK had to concede — "no intent
to invade..."

Col 12-12

↳

Was there a Russian element of
80

"Peter shot the Red" ?

[NO - We thwarted RS, not (even?) they !

(FU: Lucy; C-I (not Berlin...) C-II)

"Rockets will fly"? Muss? FU? (no?!)

|| before we thwarted FU, after '45!

M Tradition

CW system (very note (43))

~~~~~

More thoroughly

(to) [ ] VFR demand to stop work & make  
minutes negotiable

was to open them to an attack!

(and to give the for --. More POC to work?  
no need for immediate attack)

begin of the demand?

Both K letters fin-sht

even major concessions of K -

(putting missiles out -

didn't protect Cuba, though  
they were shid!

[Why did K put Castro's letter?

but public treach (OK with JFK)

goes in a lot

(not what he went in for!  
even helped on Berlin!)

by treated as equal

(symbolic "we are equal in status  
comes)

(A real no-nuclear pledge was  
by concession for JFK -

What will JCS/CIA think of that?

(Tg dated it: Oct 28)

6-11-72

Key - Oct 23 didn't want to  
attack miners!

What would that do?

- small; and / or  
|| (Provide response (US etc))  
|| ~~just~~ SOP-62!

CSC saw mining as out a  
by states and form

but a) in S-T unif., then  
b) areas  
c) expansion!

(and formal influence of: Adenauer (Germany)

VCS/CIA/MIC

Call:

Send to President  
More than 100 miles

Questions

VFR was willing to scram NATO

(via scraping deal)

C + US right wing? What were they -> Agreed -  
beginning Oct 23-27?

(JCS convincedly against

metting only minutes [no invasion?])

What if

Where was Congress - & press - (TV?)  
during Oct 23-27?

"Open crisis"

Rid Russell + Fullbright still  
want attack? From? Frank?

No complication? Why not?

---

Would VFR have simply accepted

(his!) Tusk-Tusk proposal on Oct. 27?

Conceded before? (Tusk/NATO  
minutes)

What did  
No certain mention of 1) debt with  
Tusk; how to get Tusk to agree (2nd:)

Catalytic —

"JFK was curious about" "K"

- Hyp: Spotted K the limitation —

"you were bluffed."

(But it was Castro that did K in!)

with K's unwillingness to tell JFK:

(secret)

or to "apologize for SU error  
in SAG" (unwilling)

1

K didn't know —

type that was Castro

through his comments about MR&M's  
into generation — see for K!

No analysis yet of implications of

K's knowledge of =

and lack of knowledge (SAG)

+ many about Castro (see SAG)  
+ S&S-COP

(What if he had known about role? )

of JFK and?

K didn't give ~ lesson of balance.

\* didn't have to - by Padoa-Schioppa  
(without further bargaining  
or Treaty)

Why USG like us VFR / Banks?

(NATO secretary  
Turk "

Rioting: JFK tough

(us. attack on his machine!)

Who agitated your leaders?

Did USG know?

Did Taylor tell them?

Result: - No need to censor/ban

- ~~no~~ fairness wanted

- Prevent: JFK did not ban

- Threat of (accusation) (C&D model)

(Reality: JFK thought it was either  
the stock, + was very ("bananas")

See VN '61: JFK espoused monies advice  
C-I; C-II JFK ready to expel about 1000.

Note: Corbin was Rush's man,  
and JFR;  
maybe RFK would not have --

RFK warning (ult.?) <sup>definite</sup>  
if you do X, tomorrow  
we attack  
(if not — and don't invade) we  
go Tuesday.

Was RFK's ult. (24/48 hours)  
designed f "small group" — or  
known to them? Any of them?  
(Private track, yes)

If K had wanted 8 hours (tell Monday  
morning Moscow) he might have gotten  
track.

USC will issue a ~~to~~ (main: TCS)  
a small note of TV over  
in CW

(actually, larger than by flight

by 10%

(is this "close"?

(minimum: higher!  
maximum "

was "mod"

(but, evidently "lower")

in 1960's: + 50's

(\* still - a lower note, but ...

for what?

concern: "6 is a million

for atmos. ignition

Dear Head

How stop probif, terrorist note  
unless USC throws its <sup>cast</sup> whole vote against  
spikes without mixed message  
its whole influence  $\Leftrightarrow$  no further <sup>THREAT</sup> use; or exp.

Begins to sub

("Crisis Communicators")

the Romanian market  
wanted to sell Dafur  
Russia!

1983: Roger Able Archer  
(SU got the message!)

Dread Naval!

SU 03 - the unrest debate  
(later we do)

---

Purpose of (SU troops in) Warsaw Pact

- Hold down riots / keep

order!

French west

360°

- Detain / arrest

what Roman help to East Germans

(e.g. 1953)

RFR/MCN -

comes to know,

(Berlin)

- Detain / arrest - NATO help

to... Yugoslavia

Pols

Romania... -

plan of Roman moves ("Robber")

US-JFK on  
analysis

Topics

- ~~Threat~~ <sup>No</sup> consolidation of
  - 1) naval mater
  - 2) Arab's defense needs
  - 3) US mission plus
  - 4) Anytime, exercises

VCS - ignores poss. of UA of mines

"low-o" went fine - even if of

- McN - no attack if of (+ with blockade - they will be...)

- Retrospect (his about consolidation  
How do we explain?)

[Failure to fly

Cognitive dissonance

U-2 of 24 Oct 14

Some answer - in terms, does  
make threat commts  
+ attacks "

(none in last!)

Tendency to ignore, forget, one of these

"Some answer can't be shifted

+ want

several & best

ideal + pragmatic

(Tony Thompson on K

Milgram on Castro

Castro

RFK - Hank/John

[Did JFK land with RFK? O'Donnell, on

Tony deal? (sent em from <sup>SO</sup> them)  
+ McN (who agreed)

Trollman play - ~~JFK~~ JFK didn't want to visit Khomeini

RFK - on deal

(His.)

NSA abuses truce at Andaman Oct 24

X

concern about "signal" to sub

Augo - "opining"

- split off Castro from SU

(Brazil plays - Heriberto)

"K wrong about Berlin" [Goring: was 1960]

< Berlin

PAL

SG did wrong about: Pearl Harbor

SU (CSM + Italy) analogous

It was Monroe Doctrine

Berlin blockade & military

encircling Berlin - going west

an sphere; Germany; too / Hiroshima

(Hull: an little sphere)

(the sphere: East Germany / Berlin)

Truly?!

border of seas - all date '64! NSA

"If some secret"! (Magooone!)

BD of Pigs ("error"?

what was

error -

too small?

"Change the mother" date go

→ to be like us!

(Bans weapons) Defense FU/FS threat

Type II Det?

McC - give us taste of our own medicine  
(yes, it is a change, vs. Me IV)

(

Berlin! us. am FU

McN: Doesn't change our strategy: an Type I

doesn't give the story Type I

Chef, negotiating it!

privately!

i.e. wishes over Type II

gives the same Type II (for others)

+ again over

PV in Berlin!

(not going on committed forces?

yes - in Berlin! a lot!

This first word for Type II!

at the first (real) conference  
for it!"

Cons

Almost won!

Why did K think it was low-risk?

[My old answer: election. [ Did in time

Our confidence is 85%

WWR - JFK didn't  
win before  
election?

→ lost 8, 13 evenings

(No one thought: "too late")

WRONG?  
Oct 20...

(see me + FBI)

Memo: "We do allow foreign loans"

Rio Pact? check

(If no warning — no problem?  
(McN) NO

Inward against last 4 - why?  
see NSR memo

"We said we would do this"  
No!

SU abouts — if did wrong th'd be in  
trouble? TASS — get (K)

(K what our thoughts: McKenna was <sup>on US</sup> right!  
Yet Cuban experts got contra money.

My sp: K said they it was low risk —  
somehow didn't perceive  
(if found — VFR can't be sent for until  
JFK voted more before election)

Chart: VFR to McN on 16th:

while U-2!

own SU?

See lie / went

WHO ON  
PSACM?

(I-28s : WHEN ANNOUNCED?

ever?

K mistake:

came Southern  
alive??

brinkered the  
W6 did he push the Pomm??

This night May -

most dangerous moment

(PK / Sables - savety?)

It was - but with K and VFR knew it!

Did K ever know what was  
happening with his tanks?

Of course, communication was out...

What did CBJ learn from C-II?

or McC B, McN!\*

or others?!

or N? R?

or the - advice to CBJ or VN

Other points?

[K + VFR, yes]

the lessons - had about

+ lost

\* 34A, de Soto!

assoc. (Díaz)

Trujillo!

Clerk Sher 800-444-7437

Pitahua

Survey

The real secret

SI

Count ops

All-Amer

U-2

allies

op. charans

Neon  
SP-71

(domestic

journalists

Telgrams

Seismics

officials

letters:

Cosmic

Water gey

Sensitive

(SOSUS)

SCI

Harmo ~~Heffy~~ (subs...  
Kleiner

"Contracted" — not like

TS "need to know" — SCI ~~understand~~

(not RAND)

NOFORN

OVO

EO ~~10~~ No Dis Exprs in Dis

/  
Chau

(Doesn't exist, except letters, telgrams) Codewords (Formal)

Now: FAX, e-mail, phones (long distance; local; foreign)

credit card; all phone (locations: GPS) Skype

letters? FedEx, UPS

phone companies web servers

google search, Amazon Facebook

Pay Pal

(changes by search?: or, many...) private will cos.

to deal for a permit to get good advice--

5-18-12  
Friday 7:10 pm

~~Iba~~ too  
notebooks?  
~~checkbook?~~

Wednesday Pat  
BK PK

5-18-12

JK

K did expect (to withdraw) the we ever  
(didn't go — ~~absolutely~~ ~~absolutely~~ — like  
our money?)

DISMANTE, BE FUSE

"Don't sit Guantánamo" or flat  
Don't move to Guantánamo.

We could say "We have no plans —  
like kind of reading Cuba.

Plus we not been Drop 2002, or NN, RT  
~ 296 4/

C-E = from but like Guantánamo, 2006-12, now!

VFE was not someone who was willing to take  
actions that had / caused the not to/  
a  $\frac{1}{3}$ - $\frac{1}{2}$  chance of we ever

They'd VCE, & some chins, ever!

NOR was K — it's a VFE was trying  
as thought there was a  $\frac{1}{3}$ - $\frac{1}{2}$  chance that  
K was (being actual so inspiring),  
monetary, formally opposing — or from his last notes  
(before Wednesday — who was Drop item?])  
(after Wed, VFE had. And little per ab wor: part of Amritan (large))

Pohran

Stevenson - sat Oct 20 - proposes trade  
Guantanamo (i.e. recognize "equality" of  
rights, states, "before the law"  
- instead of set of rules, rule  
(or, leave the states!)

agreed pol.

NATO leadership

(would NATO come?)

(NATO didn't know of his to JFK:)

"why 'present arms'!"

(DB - to explain why UK was not  
wanting to build up?

(Well, why won't he - if  
he did want nuclear?

His intention was

ambitious, wanting - to us:

Why Oct 2 nuclear,  
exercises?

2) for JCS - "officer open"?

If we nuncio (i.e. if K + Come  
away) why May we at all?

b) wanting - keep officer open

[No >5 intention]

Was it like: VN - he was  
determined not to? v C-I?

(Or does? - determined, till C-I;

Distr. - What we can say, with conf.

(b) - What we must commit " " "

(2) US subset in UN; C-I; (miss on Berlin) (STOP)

(b) mission before mission, as of Oct. 17  
or 18  
Oct

(see PSACOM - avoid by fence)

Supports Johnson on last 4 counts  
C<sup>a</sup>ff offering to turn up - my problem  
is - to keep secret - and to climb  
back

Blockade - like Xmas Bowling

"Shouty out of saloon"

WPB

Unrest (com; security  
effort to Eng.)

to effect turns of trade

(not public impression of being  
given a deal - went back to  
possible).

Stew was sane; right proposal

(except for "politics")

(Forested states in - for Pers - to cover Pro?)

Oct 22 - obligation was to a "capability"  
(= subs; or, ICBMs)  
seems - implication -  
impl. for surprise, surprise  
attack OR ULTIMATUM.

VFR knew nothing was really intended  
whole exam!

What if: building a base  
or take base?

"Monroe Doctrine" (our enemies)  
- not attack of CA?  
NATO?

Why different from SU/Sweden?

"Because it (Russia) try so"  
(that up, be explained)

Motive for considering other alternatives to blockade  
& others [ "if they get ready to fire..."]  
- not only "blocks not so nice"  
but "what's reasonable?  
considering...

(in McC + C&W on Aug 2, 1964...)

vs. "child's version of history"  
(T. Powers, on Nukes + CIA)

Blockade = JFK + (BJ) strat. for VN -

probably  
adopt Dobles plan (C-I)

level of force

① to hold down JCS — basis of more

did work —

give K fear of loss

② night work — coercive dip ("ult.")

He did

Not have: of control

③ <sup>(public)</sup> impose for treaty as an attack bluff —

as is  
Grenada  
SB?

(Coercive dip on Berlin)

Offshore islands

(EU threats?)

"SO/C 'deter' is a threat to peace/offensive  
(white ones, "defensive")

What was intent of Oct 2 - Oct 20 buildup?

note  
note

(Note: really — not of JCS? — (MCN?!)

Convinced that missiles were there?!

? Minutes of JCS/MCN meeting on Oct. 1?!

Never!

2 Star / 3-7-90

Q-10-12 Threatened under Pres

awareness of (or response to) ambition

✓ Each was preparing, neatly, a joint resolution for  
the other.

for K - the way he was doing it was sure to  
inflict major humiliation on JFK.

It should have recognized this - but no indication  
that he did

(JFK allowed to do so Oct 30, Nov)

No one (witting) in Eplow saw anything Carter  
as a resolution for K - or a great regret, loss, concern.

[ "Carter's regime was a aberration in our system."  
(no war Berlin!) (not so, Turkey)

Did our McCor see warheads as calculated to  
defend Cuba? (All should have - esp. over

"Offer our power that far to defend a regime from  
invasion" But which friendly regime was  
(so) threatened? not Egypt, Indonesia.  
(SAOs to NVN, 1965)

"yes, missiles could be deployed," BUT TAC NVN - in TROPs?

Strat. balance:

1. "SU combat fight in the Contd;  
our balance ~~so~~ ~~means~~ don't encourage  
SU to transfer conflict to - places where  
they had no counterbalanced authority. (Berlin)

(if SU had superiority - as predicted  
for 62° - they could have -

But with pants, (not US sup.) they could  
have used the area in Cuba we kept  
the conflict limited to Contd.!

With US sup. NO - we would  
use "means of sup" to attack SU.

(Europe?)

(not going - in  
our own defense;  
other attack)

[But if it had announced 42K forces,  
with two areas

[still - blackmail and (that's? an attack  
credible)

If attacked - response on Berlin? Warsaw?

WJ not?

NO NWS has been attacked in 67 years?  
SU, US (9-11-1)

(ignore U.K., E.; not China; NK [final yes? 1967? 1973]  
B.C. - Iran, Pol, Iran test?

Aug - 2  
SK, NK  
Affter 2  
Vol, DPA  
Parma, Shanda

Sept. 5

Blight / me / TOS - 1987  
Cuban takeover

Eddy evolution

K not in charge  
of U-2 shoot-down

Aug. 87 - Rival / Cordon

Interv - on March, 87, Hawks Bay

Notes on Blight, Aug 87

\ March 87

SE

Probs. not independent

Capsule

Penthouse warning

alarm of robotics

(Brownsville? very unlikely)

(There aren't been a full one  
catastrophe - yet - either!)

It was "local" link (non-catast.)

that got viruses out - JFK virus  
(without trace, or attack)

by both, not only by

though could have been worse!

F.M.A.

DE on Crises

DE - to Arrow - Risky Catastrophe  
for 87 (before Blight/Howard)  
web?

VN-CII - CPR Paper 170 web?

- Softly date Oct 14-87  
SAM Telecom

+ have revised/ published this in 1976  
(11 yrs. earlier) to Paul Johnson!

Notes on Houthoff 89

My ed. Oct 81

Astronomer: Clive Sutherland  
Graunt  
MITRE (cancelable?)

lost this morning:

SNIE 11-18-12

Hartooff-Chin proposal

D's deprived of info: Wg K did  
how he might want  
what concession

(on Oct 27 - he wanted no concession)

/

Hartooff - sociopath? (confident?) some  
(for himself - but not in his wife)  
How different a con gave from Goldblum-Loeb?  
other? (goldblum)

(None in jail)

known

(Obama! Does he ask where his (then)  
money comes from, how it's made - or what  
they buy (from his opponents)?

Not a "miscalculation": SU+C fear of US invasion

(Cat headlines - Mongoose)

Northwards express

But unknown about confidence? (If did have at a bet! Unbold ... / more)

Did miscalculate other forces on JFK...  
[

[ (yes - degree of "reasonable, present now" miscalculation decreasing - even beginning to say what the su as small risks (and lacking means of reducing those with bigger risks))

get considerably under-rating the risks

(and the risks to force the opponents accepting - which again are now as small)

SU did "Change its father" - as  
one thought writing [not knowing of  
Mongoose - "the risks of invading or

of old father, son of K - not  
|| conclusively: K has never sent  
Mongoose to ONE dir! Cleve!

get and see risks as small ...

What was Rumsfeld saying?

Ridge? Fortune?

NYT?

SC did warn of war, if blockade or attack.

Bush had in Aug:

Romes site? (Sept. 21-28 2001)

choose words?

(as comment about news!)

what if leaked?

See Times Oct 5 (Sept. 29?)

(before McN/JCS Oct 1-2  
Tel-2827)

When was McN on invocation of Cuban in Sept.?

(Oct 5)

((Big coup/ uprising/assass. planned + foreshadowed  
of Castro, last week in Sept.?! Mezavone?!)  
75 executed; 70 now off from arrested,  
Masonic Lodge, 300 suspects  
CCA)

McG B?

JCS?

Whalen, Andrew, K. May,

MCC?

(Casany?) Taylor?

Taylor

RFK?

No USG pressure to invade?

Gulfstrom?

Everyone against? until Oct 16?

Dillon?

(Note: why, few substant?)

(did JFK want to)  
Why not invade Cuba, give Resolution, alleged  
CA supports (not NATO) in Sept-Oct?

Hqs.

Before missiles

Was someone realistic about  
invading?

blockade - albeit ships?!

Plan on Berlin? - why not  
have come now?

Grenada

Was JFK really against invasion for bigger missiles?

Despite "1962" / Mezavone... RFK "positive"

Sept-Oct 62

Like pressure not. Aren't?

Not one mention of any military & Cuban  
boars, changes  
down, to defensive aim!

C-I extolled (if Tim): JFK should have...

Ask: Why was Monroe absolute in 20th cent.,  
2nd half — if it was?  
(UN Charter?)  
(“self-defense” of US?)

What more did JCS call for? just 150K?

Was JFK in '62 in same position as  
N in '60 —  
being pressed by the other to take  
an action he was secretly preparing??  
(C-I in '60)

Answer in 62 — until Oct. 16!)

(In both cases, JFK pulls back!)

Was JFK preparing on Oct. Surprise (or, for midair)  
“3 mos”: Oct 15

= LBJ?: (or, N = 60:)

What would other have gotten —

carrying to what N had said:

(Illegal...?)

in election results 1962

(Confidential?

Keating?

Rock v. Nugent

Wilson Wyatt?

Trie Nov 2 solved how how by one on C-II!

(Nov 29?

|| How did Explanations change of results?

What did? Who changed? (McCloskey to bank!)

(Dillon: Paul Hausev.

so to over am Berlin?!

am Cuba?!

K "bliss" — contrary to all things (legal!)

central dom!

Credit

"My jewel now" (Polanski)

"Honor"

"The Person, Macloff  
is forced on  
others." (New York my)

"Rob"

"Diesel"

(Dutch Schultz -

The Power - Rabbit

HAK on me : "unforgettable" 1969? 1970?

Was the course that succeeded, the right course  
(because it worked;  
others were costly (8ter.)  
or would have failed)

|| or right it haven't been wrong (will save others)  
because of its actual risks, which  
were not imagined (occurred) but were  
real — avoided by luck?

(Control units have been reduced — avoided?  
(No) (?)

(See Hiroshima: "worst"  
but didn't have homogenous l-r cavities  
(compared to -- abolition? (?)  
(Will worst survive the CW? (over  
nids)

---

10-(8) ~~from:~~ cult or tell K before (study)  
or not?

LIES of McNamara, Deversian on invasion plans

{ [ R + L interpretation of attack (from May '61) right!

↳ Mayaguez, invasion Nov '61  
↳ convoys (and/or ES/Berlin (Helped by FS!)  
↳ decision is April, May '62  
→ buildup, esp in Aug  
SA-2s  
↳ does he admit?  
↳ Berger?

→ Cong pressure (Macmillan - Blockade attack)

[ so what if the port is unusable?  
No invasion! ]

Should VFR have "frontal" Wall? Could be fronts?  
What if not?

As if SU deal warning of SOD planing!

(What were Mayaguez sailors - infiltrated -  
Told of this? !)

R was right about US (readiness for, "conviction of,  
but for, exercises) for invasion after C-I: esp. from Nov '61  
/ WHY THEN?

Mosley

a U-2 flight that showed maps — but not operational — but left 4 (2) and Oct 9, say wanted (?) downed to an attack and blockade, we almost won (more prob.) mission + deception — 40 K Sons.

↳ more on Berlin, give attack a law?

40 K Sons  
the news → STOP!

↓ Dealey (tell miss. op-) want JFK/MCN  
determined not to attack

Why Dealey — since SAMs not operational?

(Why didn't JFK tell MCN, Oct 27 (or earlier)?

→ AN (MCN 8 - clear - Turkey)

didn't want to tell RFK?

Did he tell Rusk — don't tell MCN?

Rest saved the world!

1) The no-war pledge [though, "if nothing is done  
was a real concession to C" (Montgomery)]  
domestically (+US) — a big one — and bigger

than Turkey (negligible to US)

Turkey would have been big to Sun, not dom.

2) those who knew that, would have opposed.

Others didn't think it was a concession. (JFK said,

said it wasn't — to Sun, (K?)

so — own discrepancy in ExCom (purpose was misleading)

## Cognitive dissonance

bordering  
COPP, etc.  
"air" interests in TW

US is an enemy — with all that entails  
torture, arrests, camps, dictatorship  
at-war begins, anti-local-sovereign  
("by any means necessary")

US first Wars — and actual effects of S-B.

mass  
shows

good tortures

East German

Sov troops into Berlin " = SW " :

(crazy)

(144)

Torture  
structures

K is sane of invasion (Wang) <sup>but</sup> from '61

Thinks invade soon in April, May (not so wrong)

X But runs to these — not tell after election

(McCain not tell after SAMs — many)

Actually, plans part to Oct <sup>when off?</sup>

in March (magenta) (Northwards)

esp. from Oct 1 (SU is not opening up,  
developing Crack II)

(My hypothesis counts on PSTM!)

(to be encouraged by lack of U-2,  
from Aug. 29? to Oct 14?  
see abst., Oct 15 (U-2?)

K forced in Berlin

1958-60  
1960

1962

K used an EU threat against us -

with his 2d-strike too many MRBMs

/ (missiles?)  
vs. US forces

+ his 2d strike ICBMs? (not enough)

in 1961-62 or 1958-60)

Attacking Cuban revolt, to JFK,

losing Berlin — not deliberate

but occupation: (aff/g threat?)

GW: no — alliance falls apart?

Hut: JFK to RFK this morning (Fri?)

an blockade

Did he "act on (not to order) equally

of right as provocative, dangerous?

Chase: ambiguous objectives on deliberately too ones

Issue to historians: What would JFK have done

if K negotiated RER ult.?

Why did VFR not expect RFK ult. to work?

Is this thought SADM was deliberate? and AAA?

Carter was right; (+ too ones;

then it should stop

Turkey  
Wining Conv. (all on 24, 25, 26 after Alexandria  
unloads.)

True? ✓ 8 together Parades Repet., Purple, Complex  
Sug? ✓

OK (Pak?) ✓ (as if rehearsal lines of towers...  
(two towers, were to be exposed) + weakheads - right out be there

✓ - Why out two were exposed?

for Cubans?!

- the was for  
worship-like  
US? (when the?)

(no, for SU troops?)

did he  
really  
believe it?  
McN did  
not!

(FROGS - OK (SU designation?)

✓ C was right!

✓ Normally landing, in Cuba!  
"impossible"

(no other aerial. landing, min. anchor)

Vigorous.

K bad bomb bombing - or ones

+ threats Sug; Berlin;

"did encourage us."

(Lebanon - Italy)

C-II; VN (McN)

Phenom - C-I

TASS Sept 13

"success" of gradual moves,

us-JCS (+ US-Soviet)

(RFK small offer)

"privately" - later Sov.

simply didn't "arrive"

in 2nd)

thinks they didn't done ~

Do more reliable

(as "forgetty"; Q, writers)

then, say, McN or McG

January 5-29-12

✓ Adlerson — Wise Men (advisors: Smart / stupid)  
"Wise Men"

Adlerson —  
Wise Men

to Berlin

RFE (Wise Men, or not?)

Tilt & Cornelia (Charlotte?) on Adlerson

Wf not? (US role in Europe?)

Adlerson's role? SU forces — of mig., etc.

But we were close.

Wf not to Berlin? dangerous?

Communist Party

Wf others in Germany?

| in Germany  
Did CP not —

+ SS-20  
PL + CM were dangerous — but not the

other ~~and~~ ones? (SS-20 didn't think so. David Howell)

want to call for

Wf not general uprising w. EU/NATO policy?

(NFU? or, and if true ones?)

(see us. testing... Adlerson...)

not, NFU; strategy; sole use...  
(What, what then for Europe?)

Wf didn't want of world protocol?

See UN in 1981 - NATO votes against

did they say it would be crisis?

or — "a one. crisis to threaten!"

to carry out?!

Why does we still study it?

Because it only did what "came close"  
to ending life ("civilization" - northern  
(not US!))

in our history:

what does science? What if:

"most" life - bacteria

(What life depends on sunlight?  
directly)

What if: US wins, South America

No cities in SW or China or Europe, fall out

EPT: bacteria shot "This did not seem

on evolution?"

to prevent this."

What?  
on "civilization"

processes

Only one man died (several injured) "firefight"  
shot at!

others

Or did it come close? See opinion: Not.

Dillon; Nitro (?!) Bondy, Martin?

(What if: woodpeckers had not been shot, or animals  
(TCS; McColl)

See Chmn

What if:

not whether to go, Oct 6?  
staff?

1) JFK = Netter + Rusk Oct 15 (if told 15th;

(McN: it's a habitual problem, not will dom; external?  
LA 2 AM 10?

[Why does blackmail "deserve" the. of war?

Why does "war/delay, "front" blackmail?

What if no one? Black, "illegal"? So what? That what?

JFK: "We will not shrink from risk of GW..."

2) Why "act it"? This act legal; + license (legal)

(long su about like Tidby or Bonin  
+ we don't like CBA; or winners [or CCBMs])

{ ARE CCBMs "legal"?

existential; controls

genocidal (GAC '69)

or CBMs,

Is SAC legal?

see S10P

Art. Patron election

Why D.M.?

D.M.

legal?

Also ap + South Africa

JFK: later w/ Post

→ Dulles, Kif

(RFK (Sellers, Ladd, Allison (70?) didn't know

(wars & laws:

May 30-12

Suppose: JFK really knows from beginning  
(air strike is just a pose in front  
of DeLoach + Taylor + JCS)

(He knows he's giving VCS a kind of  
strength or mission)

(By Oct 1, the "know" mistakes are coming  
(as there — as they were))

Was OK'd thinking, no invasion (Oct 2)

"if + only if they present but not of?"  
(What if they are? were discovered  
and are all there?)

Permit blockade?

JFK: Body  
Did not want — no U-2 — not want to find out  
(before a better) if invasion was there?

[ If K had wanted to withdraw (or put one in option)  
till for a better — could use SAOK to conceal?  
But couldn't do that VRP could not  
attack during vulnerability.

BUT: went of invasion before withdrawal?

- Actually planned (as contingency)
- K didn't seem to have considered!

"This is (so) consistent: 'K likes'"

K invading { "JFK didn't want to disclose this"  
"despite POC, PRESSURE, from EU/Ribbs"  
"attack Cuba"

despite Price, Roberts...

VFR problem: - to draw JCS back from invasion

with some ey., to avert it

gutty JCS more but = to use it as threat (not only)  
heated up ("Whale") - to blockade "art" ult.

already / (preparal!) giving VFR time  
prepared

- to get OAS for blockade to avert

- to get NATO open to blockade

the... - to blockade (perf. under pressure, UN

or Turk "offer" (Ra.)

or UN avert

(Why should K blockade?  
We wanted avert it;)

Why do MRMs detain  
street (with the  
invade it?)

blockade "new norm" — vs. MR P min-major

(why? on borders: so?

short-term? (Ha.)

UA? perf?

(give to Gabas; or others...)

UA; (more  
credible threat  
than COOMS)

longer out to JCS!  
(but see SAM-U-2/)

- except "front"

Cuban control

(we're already in the hemisphere;

(B borders:)

they're still not to be in ours;

(Econ controls)

but with, MRMs on borders

Borders! "WWTB" (is (962!))

"imperialist" "front border"  
"imperialist" — they were countries; controlled over  
"front"

while TG!

(imperialist) Ha! Errors, plus, CA (C-I!)

Big front! "No Plans, no intent"  
impoverish, assess,  
abolition in OAS  
Northwards

JAP - PSALM

was it "own, front"  
or not

VFR bias to thresholds

"No risk": either "K had to back down" (Wrong)

or: "Neither K nor JFR wanted war (true)

and: no real danger of VA (no)

JCS: blockade leads to war (distr!)

under much worse conditions

by partial blockade

("Minimal")

and outcome (not just off My)

("VFK has to go")?

PTB

AU stated  
Cuba, VN?

than <sup>full</sup> surprise attack (<sup>by</sup> short  
last minute)

+ full invasion

(loss, too many  
guerrilla war)

JCS advice: as best as on: Korea Quemoy '58

C-I

PDS: Does

Replies

VN '61

Planning starts this

(where even to

VN 64-65 - 68

long? east? W?

coming from? front,

(CB right, - Nov 65)

(VA withdraw)

opposition?

Ended without war.

+ with massive withdrawal: SFK pledge

relatively high

to L. (Was Castro

assured at all?)

Block + start of attack:

very illegal

(VN Charter)

not, "self-defense"

(unless attack on them, they...

self-defense)

// Now explicit withdrawal

of troops & troops?

Castro reaction to that?

(Is it just symbolic?)

Evening, JFK worried about loss of control

But: for K and JFK: events were out of their control (+ K knew it) (SAM, AAA)  
in ways that neither of them knew.

Sale/Raney

U-2 / Soviet forces increase and effect

JFK suspected SAM?

He knew Kelly; Powers?

Castro

'K suspected: SAM

Was man "crazy"? He almost won big

How close was K to winning? "He shot dead"  
during NATO? ← 1) Phall: if he could be sure Castro  
wouldn't fire:

and too many

("let US have first  
shot" (too late;  
blood) U-2

(why did he try - w/ more  
he'd found → keep C  
from firing?)

When did he know how  
SAM was fired?

" " " " and why?

during NATO

- 2) Kep wives in Cuba <sup>weakly</sup> <sub>MRBMs potential</sub>,
- If he remained (too many?) <sup>(no wives)</sup>
- If he followed  
"hat strategy"

## Best IC strategy

1. Secret deployment of all
2. No exposure of sites or equipment until SAMs operational.  
*(When? when before)*
3. If invasion is close ~~before~~<sup>before</sup> 2 or election ~~then~~<sup>too</sup> move  
before 7, if necessary; after 6 on
4. Shoot Wamm SAMs will fire  
at violations of airspace.
5. If U-2: shoot it down + protect  
*(SAMs may be attacked.)* "
6. If low-level: AAA + protect.

as McCone  
effected

7. Install MRBMs (after election, unless  
SAMs can be spread up; not  
neutral of SAMs (eventually, SI))  
No blockade  
no attack  
No invasion  
Mobile stay : in larger numbers

8. Reopen Berlin (No Berlin line?)  
*[Why not just! We went north Berlin before election.  
"Go ahead".]*

? Todd

Hof: K wouldn't negotiate on Berlin in 1962 —  
he didn't want it / or need it  
He wanted to be able to threaten it  
a liability for NATO  
a "corner, corner"  
(fooyer —  
in Russia?)

(We could threaten USSR  
to detonate nukes in Berlin!  
But we wanted to tell Cole.

appeal to  
Hannay,

// What if K had responded to an Berlin  
planing with CANDOR about real  
consequences of GW for Europe!

NATO, CW  
(now, after ~~WWII~~)

(our Wilful Blindness!)

Talosco — is 2 years (not a month or two)

kills (protection deaths) / M

or all American deaths from all wars,  
including Cold War

[what begins for world?  
Russia, China...]

The Great Deaths

In 20 years — all deaths from war is 20% likely  
(3000 years: all wars?) (all massless stars)

Mao  
Hitler  
Pol Pot  
Saddam  
Rwanda

Clinton's

One War

Afghan-Pak war

Bogdan Khan  
Somalia  
(Roman?)

CIA was asked of a real invasion:

no-invasion

(reworded as no more a concession  
than "imploring" Turkey minister with Poles)

would they be fired if  
Turkey were attacked?

or Empire?

(not big war attack  
themselves)

What would Russell Capdeville

Fulbright Kerrey

VOS Walter Faubell

Jayson

Kitey

Diller

McCone

have said about invading Cuba if they  
had known about the over 100000 oil troops?

Oct 4  
2003

if invasion board led on Oct 21 (to JFK, Nov 1.)

How could it have looked on Oct 27?

JFK on ~~France~~

Oct '62

+ Nov 63

→ Hung <sup>10/20</sup>

[Would we have given over 100000 to them?]

Was RPK Ult, a bluff, or not?

SEE 1964

\* Would JFK have invaded on Tuesday? (bombardmt?)

LEN or right places - "Bloody"

( sub } war is on  
SAM }

me: - C-3 "fire"

- JFK - PT boat, run over by DD

- Carter - Vomit rail /

- Vomit + me: B-52s /

- "always seem SOB" (JFK)

- me + POW - Egypt (3.5<sup>th</sup> rocket)

- Dr. S /

- (US sleep on beach at C-I  
killed?)

## More Tricky

256-57 America: SW not fit to threaten (bluff)  
or risk

See,  
Swinging  
(Nest, church,  
Dallas)

but to choose, as in sacrifice  
we were forced to use  
- to prevent, or  
- to avoid losing Berlin!

But even - risk? (for Berlin) "our rights":  
Now prevent a risk?  
Why?

(Because we had "committed")

Was (am) a bluff president? (270) BLUFFING INVOLVES RISK

Dulles: < 1/1000 risk. 278

(Was NATO at stake, or West Europe (Russia? US)  
or American role in NATO?)

2 different views of  
Hans-Joachim Berling + C-II:

Dulles, 276

- 3) "If we show resolve, SW will/must back down."
- 4) "We want initiative SW if necessary (good!)

"We're not bluffing"

(+ k!  
Casse/de Gaulle  $\Rightarrow$  JFK on C-II (+ that): right have to

270 capitulate in the end, but must not do it furnishing!"

Fischer had to look tough on Berlin - but tell  
blame for losing it. (Good doctor?)

keep NATO together,  
road on to Germany

Way with SW was not inevitable, etc.

Nor was, losing Berlin!

(nor was, agreeing Cuban; fully Castro!)

"If France goes inc, Germany is sure to follow." NO  
Non Human never invite

To not (a threat, bluff) one war is one thing  
dangerous, even crazy (reckless)

(like now: MM!)

[want to prompt?]

But to invite it / as if the avoids  
soothing worse

IS CLINICALLY INSANE

(what - to "avoid" big over-run, captured,

or killed (at any level;

[to "limit damage"? prompt

Berlin - Cuba

We wanted "non-invasion pledge" in Berlin?

(didn't the regular US troops in Berlin?)

(not? was)

310 MRBN<sub>1</sub> in Hungary → Human control

(Want Hungary stays (esp. with us) threatened  
stability of US empire in East Europe)

≈ Cuba; esp. with USSR, " " " of US Agency  
in CA & LA.

For K, losing Cuba was like, for TDK, losing Berlin!

Alt. approach to Berlin: negotiate peace deal — we get Berlin,  
they get ...

[What if Humans got one weapons? Now  
would this affect states of Berlin?]

(US blocks out; Humans w. missiles (and of  
NATO)  
Why was not the threatened?

("If we give up Berlin")

305 — They about to get ours = Cuba about to get ours  
Detriment no protection (Pemal Norbar)

|| The US by a nation by mind (as described  
now-a-days) is CARELESS CRAZINESS — Swinef behavior  
at uncontrolled

(France; Germany; Canada; America)

K had his on Berlin in Aug-Oct 62.

Did K want to annihilate JFK — [in Cuba, he would!]

- get tanks out of Berlin (from MRBMs in Cuba)
- destroy NATO?

But then: they ~~get~~ put nukes,

is independent —

So didn't want that!

Yet his "wisdom" yet will put it!

\* his ways could even hurt JFK worse;

- JFK a deeply, credulous
  - treated with contempt
  - of K...
  - JFK's warnings ignored
  - Rather right
  - talk false
- (like all, Iraq...)

June 10, 2012

Big miscalculation of DDI + SS (Aug)

Rpus

NOT that "K didn't miscalculate  
so much - US ~~agreed~~ with him  
- he could get away with it  
a bit so well"

But that K didn't care about  
"losing Cuba"  
(Would they have given too much to DIAH?  
and won't respond to "the rest of  
the box," take some risks, break pattern  
(Copy?), do something unprecedented  
the outcome - well sense, what  
- was the only thing that  
might work, was, massive states  
geo, AVOID S-T CERTAIN LOSS

DPP! — PP

K/T: Humanitarian (Personal)

take big <sup>not</sup> risk;

LIKE JFK!

regard loss as  $\approx$  catastrophe

CANBE DOMINANTBS! (or if ...)

well, full-work

Gambles with Catastrophe

some you lose,

(Don't I see this before

can't win all ...

"DON'T LOOK HARD AT

(K on Oct 24-28


a) How likely failure is, loss


(NOT = GW


b) How bad " " "


but = risk of GW

("Worst case": ignore, loss is "UNACCEPTABLE, INTOLERABLE,  $\frac{1}{X}$  total -  $\infty$ )









Dobrynin didn't know of missiles

(as per Balashov)

Cline/Hartley didn't know of Mongoose

or mission plans

McN Dow (to Mit.) on

Hartley - he doesn't remember! (Mongoose?  
invasion)

Thompson article

Well, more

With 40/60' missiles in Cuba, thumper (Mit.) is no longer  
clearly true — "our 2nd strike is faster than their  
first strike" (air alert, Poland, some of 120° off-Baltic...  
Well...)

My take:

Why some SAMS at all — if you're not going  
to fire them? (and don't and then often  
missiles are operational?)

Well — they did delay V-2 flight! (still, plane was fired)

— and the diplomats made reports of missiles

analyzed

which, combined with K armories...

ExCom - Big Stone if keep the went for a week

- 2) while you person Diller, McLean,  
Taylor, <sup>JKS</sup> to go along with a blockade  
(We hasn't worked on Russell or Filgate  
for a week)
- 3) on grounds its only a first step — treat attack  
(not — treat & turn, etc.)

(Fortheth may, I was am going to  
treat — I was going to attack."

(+ the attack worked)

(not — the attack would not —  
minor would have been a  
desire)

(but alone global catastrophe!  
Don't know that!)

and invasion/air attacks  
didn't set off NREMs,  
too many

[but it right, so we  
would have seen that  
— all over months to stay —  
treach...]

Oct. 10 Keating ~~though it was a fake cat!~~  
"The cat is out of the bag  
(before the real cat got in it...  
(well, as of Oct 10, 9, even!'  
These were officials who  
believe reports of missiles!

i.e. PSALM was, in effect, revealed —  
went VFR peaked:  
(Who did PSALM go in?!

What was the use of PSALM, on Oct 10?

They said always say, as the result of  
Keating — "No official source — we have  
no evidence..."

(Of course front of books from banks —  
who knew VFR didn't want to attack  
[before election? K was right)  
despite cont. planning!  
↳ because of hold on U-2 — a lot depends:  
U-2 cancel disinformation agent reports, in specific  
places

[Did Keating tell whom sites were?  
No]

K could've done what he did

to get he right to do - it's best,

+ where trade' (or diverse more)

→ Attacks - or just, from - will

lead to the endgame, prob. US PS!

Castro

two moves

R&K double ult.

2 possible thots;

1 a bluff - our central banker tested.

other - no time to test

(and it prob. was not a bluff - in K's eye and it won't.

and be central pump,

as Castro wanted.

(who did the get

to K - if later

Thursday AM after meeting,

then ~10AM Friday -

"Oct 26?"

or was it

2nd Oct AM in Cuba,

or earlier,

27

10 AM on Oct? (Friday

Oct 27?)

Existence of one monopoly was likely (certain? without signaling  
action) (OR  
LEAK!) to lead to test and attack

will affects Frank forewarns SU test

→ US/SU "race" [in absence of LEAK + CANADA]

But US intervening as EU thots despite

loss of monopoly ("modern thots")

+ boards of forces (Dallas)

- means leaving very likely, if not inevitable [RENNAN LEAK?]

Even office was not for NED.

No first test?

(LEAK) good

This JS war - and offend messes in

C-II & Berlin

+ threats

not except "superior"

and SU will have to "punish"

make it invincible

other would work + get it

OK, France (from 1956!)

- formal

China → India → Pak

(Pak, Iran...)

(US war at N+N — almost invincible from S.B.)

not invincible, but big

big (USAF, Churchill, FDR

Marshall, Mitchell

aircraft industry...)

Libya, Tahr-Ellan + Libya (not the "begin")

But SU wins on the mes

that of Apocalypse

/ also part of problem

End this

and no better option

(not just type I war — as for China,

(Hold on to — satellite

or SU initially

≈ US holding on to: Iran, West Bank (Bahrain)

Arabia, India, Korea,

not "invincible"

and after 1964 (200 CBMs)

used to support

Adenauer (vs. Churchill)

needed for them (France)

+ division + NATO +

all Polars —

less likely to escalate,

"2 vulnerable D.M.s —

or to date "satellite"

tendency to frustration,

despite this big fire as much as the mes in Europe!

no mes)

R: Pure bluff is say  
C-I Berlin

not good enough, is C-II & Berlin

"Can't beat somebody with nothing"

(Cool Hand Luke)

- threat of loss of control

or (moral) "character"

(~~SSD~~ — my D.M.? "to escalate — on <sup>poss.</sup> provocation, deep, CF, D-L

If other side is vulnerable (+ obligate, if deep)  
then no tent of "raising the last blip,"  
incremental escalation — we limit to "escalation  
gone (as in countered UN-VN)  
each will match others' use,  
"up the ladder."

(If you can't "throw in all chips" (the))

C-II What New

Shows contrasts between declared policy,  
public advertising, ~~etc~~ (Type I Diet)  
(few of SU Es!)

availability of USG + SU concerns

(Chairmanship: Colvin + Berlin)

X see Rostow's new book US Plans for New War  
+ Deligation, in Berlin (back to the + SACEUR)  
Colvin, Registered Parcel "

Write, then mailing international

Eord was bluffing —

Neither wanted invasion (or were near  
Neither ready to take ~~more~~ too a "small" risk.

on Berlin conflict (of course)

Eord was determined to avoid above.

Eord had more hawkish advisors, who wanted  
to see ~~not~~ action that offend  
within the day taken.

But despite this — with other "contingencies" the opposite was true:

— Eord was ready to take what he saw as  
a small risk of D-Day war...

(which was larger than ~~the~~ the first)  
and believed he could control ~~not~~ want  
to keep it from getting large.

[Half-right, half-wrong:

1) Sarah exerts some of  
control — and the others

The "real risk" — less than the (NFR/K); K/NFR  
would fight on Oct 22-24: from  
abstain, 2) less than if some advisors had  
been  
elected; 3) just (?) — given the other thoughts,  
OR WAS WILLING TO ACCEPT

$\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{2}$  prob. SW would go all the way to the west

[as the end-point of a sequence of steps  
of extra sides that either

1) makes one very bad button

that JFK "might"  
be advised to

to SW than non-tree response

take)

(GW vs. "ambitions")

or 2) makes it "likely" that SW (or US?) will start

one war (reflex, accident...) (loss of control)

(try - too one → SW)

or 3) confronts US with "surprise" or TN war.

But each intended to script choosing military/prevention  
of the alt. was a high-risk/high-reward or moderate risk/reward  
of every variation of war was by GW. Neither expected, at all to introduce SW

Lesson of Stargate Command: Notes. 6-20/2

We plan to  
as terror targets  
(Don't Worry)

San Oroph -

Safety  
Furniture

were one out in good hands  
none of them  
and they were will be  
(arm bands)

This is not a place to be trusted with  
other weapons; another motor; WMD's (S.B.)  
No "good anger guys" or  
bad guys + <sup>like</sup> worse guys  
less bad guys